

1 MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)
United States Attorney
2 DAVID R. CALLAWAY (CABN 121782)
Chief, Criminal Division

4 GARTH HIRE (CABN 187330)
Assistant United States Attorney

5 1301 Clay Street, Suite 340-S
6 Oakland, California 94612
7 Telephone: (510) 637-3723
Facsimile: (510) 637-3724
E-mail: Garth.Hire@usdoj.gov

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff
10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13 OAKLAND DIVISION

14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. CR 4-15-70235 MAG

15 Plaintiff,

STIPULATION REGARDING REQUEST FOR
(1) CONTINUANCE OF HEARING DATE
AND (2) FINDINGS OF EXCLUDABLE TIME
PERIOD PURSUANT TO SPEEDY TRIAL
ACT

16 v.

17 NAIFARM SAECHAO,

18 Defendant.

Current Hearing Date: July 10, 2015
Proposed Hearing Date: August 21, 2015

21 Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, the United States
22 Attorney for the Northern District of California and Assistant United States Attorney Garth Hire, and
23 defendant Naifarm Saechao (Saechao), by and through his counsel of record, Assistant Federal Public
24 Defender Joyce Leavitt, hereby stipulate as follows:

25 1. Defendant is charged in a federal criminal complaint with possession with intent to
26 distribute methamphetamine in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1). Defendant has been arraigned on
27 the criminal complaint. On April 24, 2015, defendant waived his right to a preliminary hearing under

1 Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5. Defendant also agreed to exclude the time period of April 24,
2 2015, to May 22, 2015, to be charged by indictment or information under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
3 Defendant thereafter agreed to exclude the time period of May 22, 2015, to July 10, 2015, to be
4 charged by indictment or information under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b). A hearing for arraignment on
5 indictment or information is presently set for Friday, July 10, 2015.

6 2. The government has produced discovery relating to defendant's arrest and criminal
7 history and anticipates producing additional discovery. The government and defendant are exploring
8 the potential for a pre-indictment disposition. Counsel for defendant Saechao requires additional time
9 to obtain and review discovery and in light of that discovery to discuss and negotiate a potential pre-
10 indictment disposition for defendant. In addition, defendant is presently serving a state prison
11 sentence at San Quentin State Prison and will subsequently be transferred to the Martinez Detention
12 Facility based on a hold from Contra Costa County Superior Court.

13 3. Thus, counsel for defendant Saechao represents that additional time is necessary to
14 confer with defendant, conduct and complete an independent investigation of the case, conduct and
15 complete additional legal research including for potential pre-indictment and/or pre-trial motions,
16 review the discovery already produced and soon to be produced, as well as potential evidence in the
17 case, and prepare for trial in the event that a pre-indictment resolution does not occur. Defense
18 counsel represents that failure to grant the continuance would deny him reasonable time necessary for
19 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The parties agree that the
20 requested continuance is not based on congestion of the Court's calendar, lack of diligent preparation
21 on the part of the attorney for the government or the defense, or failure on the part of the attorney for
22 the government to obtain available witnesses.

23 4. For purposes of computing the date under the Speedy Trial Act by which defendant
24 must be charged by indictment or information, the parties agree that the time period of July 10, 2015,
25 to August 21, 2015, inclusive, should be excluded pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A),
26 (h)(7)(B)(i) and (h)(7)(B)(iv) because the delay results from a continuance granted by the Court at
27 defendant's request, without government objection, on the basis of the Court's finding that: (i) the

1 ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendant in the
2 filing of an information or indictment within the period specified in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b); and
3 (ii) failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel the reasonable time
4 necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

5. Nothing in this stipulation shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy
6 Trial Act dictate that additional time periods be excluded from the period within which an information
7 or indictment must be filed.

8 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

9 Dated: July 6, 2015

MELINDA HAAG
United States Attorney

11 /S/
12 GARTH HIRE
Assistant United States Attorney

13 Attorneys for Plaintiff
14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

16 */S/ via e-mail authorization on 7/1/2015*
17 JOYCE LEAVITT
Attorney for Defendant
Naifarm Saechao

18 7/1/2015
Date

1 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

2 The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding Request for (1) Continuance of
3 Hearing Date and (2) Findings of Excludable Time Period Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the
4 parties in this matter. The Court hereby finds that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by
5 reference into this Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance in this matter, and provides
6 good cause for a finding of excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

7 The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best
8 interest of the public and defendant in the filing of an information or indictment within the time period
9 set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b); and (ii) failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny
10 defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the
11 exercise of due diligence.

12 THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

13 The hearing for arraignment on information or indictment in this matter scheduled for July 10,
14 2015, is continued to 9:30 a.m. on Friday, August 21, 2015, before the Honorable Donna M. Ryu,
15 United States Magistrate Judge. The time period of July 10, 2015, to August 21, 2015, inclusive, is
16 excluded in computing the time within which an information or indictment must be filed under 18
17 U.S.C. § 3161(b) pursuant to the provisions of 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i), and (B)(iv).
18 Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that
19 additional time periods are excluded from the period within which an information or indictment must
20 be filed.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 7/2/15

23 DATE

24

Kandis Westmore
25 HONORABLE KANDIS A. WESTMORE
26 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27
28